



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/517,258	03/02/2000	Kent Deshotel	9468.001	4762

7590 07/17/2003
R Bennett Ford Jr
P O Box 15928
Baton Rouge, LA 70895

EXAMINER

COOKE, COLLEEN P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1725

DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER

12

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on 5/19/03 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): the applicant had not submitted sufficient arguments drawn to the rejections made and the claims present in the application. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Applicant should submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" pointing out disagreements with the examiner's contentions. Applicant must also discuss the references applied against the claims, explaining how the claims avoid the references or distinguish from them. Applicant needs to discuss how the claims distinguish over the references applied in the rejections specifically, not just broadly describing the "state of the art" without reference to the applied references. The applicant may find it helpful to even refer to specific passages of the cited references when explaining how the claims distinguish over the art. In addition, the applicant should focus on how the claims distinguish over the art, as distinctions which are not present in the claims are irrelevant to the rejections made.

TOM DUNN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

CC 7/16/03